

Fast X-ray Transients and Gamma-ray Bursts: Constraints on Beaming

Jonathan E. Grindlay

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138

ABSTRACT

The detection of x-ray afterglows of gamma-ray burst (GRB) sources has enabled the optical discovery that GRBs are at cosmological distances, which confirms that the enormous luminosities required must produce a relativistically expanding fireball. It is not yet clear if this expansion is quasi-spherical or beamed, or if the beaming differs between the prompt GRB and afterglow, although the differences for both source emission and population models are profound. We show that relative beaming (prompt vs. afterglow) may be constrained by analysis of the “fast transient” x-ray sources catalogued by Pye and McHardy (1983) from the *Ariel V* survey as well as several other (e.g. HEAO-A1/A2) surveys. After removing contamination from likely stellar transients, and scaling from x-ray/ γ -ray fluence ratios recently derived from Ginga and BeppoSAX, approximately half of the sources were possible prompt emission or afterglows from GRBs with γ -ray fluence of $\gtrsim 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ ergs cm $^{-2}$. Since the rate of these candidate afterglow events, 0.15 day $^{-1}$, is consistent with the BATSE logN-logS distribution, no difference in prompt vs. afterglow beaming is required. However more sensitive x-ray monitors or hard x-ray imaging surveys, with better temporal and spatial resolution, could provide strong constraints on GRBs and beaming.

Subject headings: gamma-rays: bursts – X-rays: sources

1. Introduction

The recent discoveries of x-ray (Costa et al 1997) afterglow emission from gamma-ray burst (GRB) sources provided the long-awaited breakthrough needed for settling the distance scale. The fading soft/medium x-ray sources detected by BeppoSAX for several bursts were bright enough to be imaged and well positioned ($\sim 1'$) for several days after a GRB, enabling the first followup optical detection (Van Paradijs et al 1997) of a GRB. Subsequent measurement of the absorption redshift ($z = 0.83$) for GRB970508 by Metzger et al (1997) has confirmed the cosmological distance scale (for at least some GRBs) and the implied enormous energy release ($\gtrsim 10^{51}$ ergs) in a radiation-dominated fireball, as hypothesized by Meszaros and Rees (1993, and references therein) and subsequently developed in many papers (e.g. Meszaros, Rees and Wijers 1998, hereafter MRW). The fireball must expand relativistically, with likely Lorentz factor $\Gamma \gtrsim 10^{2-3}$. A key question is whether the required relativistic expansion is quasi-isotropic or beamed, most likely

into an opening angle $\sim 1/\Gamma$. The prompt GRB could appear similar (cf. MRW) in either case, although beam-edge effects could also be observable (cf. Panaitescu, Meszaros and Rees 1998), but of course the source energetics and required numbers would be modified by factors of Γ^{-2} and Γ^2 , respectively.

Whereas the prompt GRB emission is probably due to the initial expansion and deceleration of the fireball (and may also be governed by internal shocks), the subsequent afterglow emission likely results from the later deceleration of the expanding shock in the ambient ISM. Given the entrainment of the fireball and its deceleration by the ISM in the later phases, the afterglow is likely to be more isotropic than the prompt GRB emission (but see Rhoads (1997) and Dar (1998) for effects of afterglow beaming), and detection of GRBs by just their afterglow emission can test *differential beaming*: if a significantly larger rate of afterglow events is detected than expected (from $\log N - \log S$) for prompt GRBs of same total fluence threshold, then afterglow beaming must indeed be less than prompt beaming. In principle afterglows could be detected by either sensitive optical/IR or x-ray searches. The present GRB optical counterparts suggest that optical/IR searches would need to be sensitive to $\gtrsim 18$ -20th mag objects, fading to perhaps $\gtrsim 23$ mag over just ~ 3 -10d. Thus deep supernova searches offer perhaps the best hope for detecting optical afterglows without an accompanying GRB trigger, but since these are restricted to very small (e.g. typically $10'$) fields, the rate will be negligible unless indeed the prompt GRB emission is strongly and differentially beamed. [Indeed after the initial submission of this paper, and on the basis of the likely optical identification of GRB980425 with SN1998bw, Wang and Wheeler (1998) have suggested that at least some GRBs are associated with SNIb/c's and that strong (differential) beaming is implied.]

In contrast, the soft-medium energy x-ray afterglows are much brighter and thus easier to detect amidst the multitude of background variable sources. The soft (0.2-2 keV) or medium (2-10 keV) energy x-ray afterglow fluxes are fading from an initial x-ray brightness that is apparently 0.05-0.25 as bright as the prompt γ -ray (50-300 keV; or BATSE trigger range) energy flux in the GRB itself (cf. Strohmayer et al 1998, Frontera et al 1998). That is, x-ray afterglows fade from 2-10 keV fluxes approximately as bright as the Crab ($\sim 2 \times 10^{-8}$ ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}), or nearly the brightest source in the sky. In contrast, the optical transients appear to be $\gtrsim 10^3 \times$ fainter and so fade from a relatively faint (but still unsure; and subject to reddening) $\gtrsim 18$ th mag.

In this paper we utilize published catalogues of so-called “fast transient” x-ray (2-10 keV) sources to constrain the rate of GRBs detected by (just) their x-ray emission – from both prompt x-ray emission and afterglow. Fast transients are ~ 2 -10 keV x-ray sources detected on just 1-2 satellite orbits (i.e. ~ 1.5 -3 h duration, typically) by virtually all the early generation of x-ray satellites (non-imaging) with sensitivities ~ 5 mCrab = 1×10^{-10} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1} (2-10 keV). Our primary data is from the *Ariel V* survey published by Pye and McHardy (1983), but we also consider the independent HEAO-1 surveys which incorporated the A1 (Ambruster and Wood 1986) and A2 (Connors, Serlemitsos and Swank 1986) data.

2. The *Ariel V* Fast Transients: GRBs ?

Pye and McHardy (1983; hereafter PM) reported the cumulative results of the survey for fast transient x-ray sources which were detected (2-18 keV) only in only 1 to a few orbits (100min) with the Sky Survey Instrument (SSI) instrument on the UK x-ray satellite *Ariel V*. Their catalogue of 27 Ariel Transient (AT) sources provided a more comprehensive tabulation than the several previous reports (cf. references in PM) of similarly fast transients detected with ANS, SAS-3 and HEAO-1. The sources were required to be above a 5.5σ threshold flux of 8 SSI counts $\text{s}^{-1} \sim 20$ mCrab (2-10 keV) $= 4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for their integrated flux over 1 orbit (which, at ~ 100 min, was the minimum integration time scale) and to not correspond obviously to known persistent x-ray sources detected by *Ariel V* and catalogued in the 3A catalogue (Warwick et al 1981). Source locations were typically only measured to $\sim 5\text{-}10 \text{ deg}^2$, given the $0.75^\circ \times 10^\circ$ collimator, which was inclined at 65° to the plane scanned at the 6 s rotation period of the satellite.

The AT catalogue contains 27 sources (including one source likely detected twice) with locations approximately isotropic on the sky and a flux distribution obeying a logN-logS relation $N(\geq S) = K (S/S_o)^{-\alpha}$ where $K = 65 \pm 30 \text{ sources y}^{-1}$, $\alpha = 0.8 \pm 0.8$, and $S_o = 8 \text{ SSI counts s}^{-1}$. These 27 sources were detected as significant excesses over 1 (or at most a few; see below) orbits from some 20,000 satellite orbits, the usable total from the $\sim 30,000$ orbits accumulated over the full 5.5 year (October 1974 - March 1980) mission lifetime. The resulting full-sky rate of AT sources derived by PM is $R_{AT} \sim 0.3 \text{ day}^{-1}$ for sources detected with 2-10 keV x-ray flux above the 20 mCrab threshold, integrated over 1 orbit. Since peak fluxes for the AT sources are not known (given the 100 min time resolution), we convert S_o first to a 2-10 keV x-ray *fluence* threshold for AT events: $F_{X,min} = F_{AT,min} \sim 6000 S_o = 2.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2}$.

Prompt GRBs ?

We first consider whether the AT events could be due to x-ray (2-10 keV) prompt emission from GRBs. In fact, PM noted that two of their 27 catalogued sources were coincident in time with GRBs: sources AT0318+220 = GRB751102 and AT0710+012 = GRB760128. Not only are these events temporally coincident, but for the second event the $\sim 1^\circ$ spatial coincidence is compelling (Cline et al 1979). The first event had no available position data reported (Klebesadel et al 1982). We note below that the long duration x-ray detections of each (3 and 2 satellite orbits, respectively) now provide strong evidence for x-ray afterglow detection of these historical GRBs.

In order to compare the AT events in general to current BATSE studies of GRBs, we need to convert the x-ray fluence to a likely γ -ray fluence in the 50-300 keV band used for BATSE triggers. This depends, of course, on the still uncertain x-ray and γ -ray spectra spectral shapes of GRBs. BeppoSAX measures of the x-ray (2-10 keV) vs. γ -ray (40-700 keV) fluence of GRBs (e.g. Frontera et al 1998) now provides a followup to the historical sample of ~ 120 GRBs detected with the combined x-ray (2-25 keV) and γ -ray (15-400 keV) GRB detector (GBD; cf. Murakami et al 1989) which operated on the *Ginga* satellite from March 1987 until October 1991. Strohmayer et

al (1998) have analyzed the sample of 22 bright GRBs detected with the *Ginga* GBD and derive an average ratio of x-ray/ γ -ray fluences $r_{X\gamma, \text{Ginga}} = 0.24$. This is very comparable to the value quoted by Frontera et al (1998) for GRB970228, $r_{X\gamma, \text{SAX}} = 0.2 \pm 0.05$ although the bands (both x-ray and γ -ray) are different. Given the typical GRB spectral shape of a broken power law and exponential cutoff (e.g. the Band et al 1993 model, also used by Strohmayer et al), the BeppoSAX bands are in fact close to the conversion we need for AT/BATSE fluences. Thus we adopt $r_{X\gamma, \text{SAX}}$ to estimate the corresponding 50-300 keV γ -ray fluence threshold for AT events (assuming they are prompt emission from GRBs): $F_{\gamma, \text{min}} = F_{X, \text{min}} / r_{X\gamma, \text{SAX}} \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ ergs cm^{-2} .

Thus if the AT sources are *prompt* x-ray emission from GRBs, they correspond to relatively bright bursts, with fluence threshold $F_{\gamma, \text{min}} \gtrsim 30\times$ that of BATSE (the relative sensitivity is uncertain due to the complications of trigger criteria). We consider below the detailed composition of the AT sources, but if they are all prompt x-ray counterparts of GRBs, then their rate may be compared with the logN - logS rate for GRB fluence above $F_{\gamma, \text{min}} \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$ ergs cm^{-2} . The equivalent BATSE detection rate for GRBs with fluence $\gtrsim F_{\gamma, \text{min}}$ then provides a crude upper limit for the prompt GRBs contained in the AT survey; any significant AT-GRB rate above this would imply detection of GRBs by their afterglows alone. Using the corrected logN-logS for fluence presented by Bloom, Fenimore and in't Zand (1996) for the BATSE 3B catalogue, and normalization provided by Bloom (private communication, 1998), we obtain the expected rate $R(F \gtrsim F_{\gamma, \text{min}}) \sim 0.23 \text{ day}^{-1}$. Using the older (pre-BATSE) fluence logN-logS relations, with relatively larger uncertainties, gives a factor of 2 smaller value: from Higdon and Lingenfelter (1990), we would derive 0.12 day^{-1} . We adopt the (larger) BATSE value, which will impose a more conservative limit on beaming, and which is already comparable to the total observed rate of AT sources given by PM: $R_{\text{AT}} \sim 0.3 \text{ day}^{-1}$. Given the $\gtrsim 25\%$ uncertainties in $R_{X\gamma}$ and the AT source fluxes and exposure/rate estimates, which would yield comparable uncertainties in derived rates (given the local slope of logN-logS; see below), this may be viewed as satisfactory agreement. This would imply that the AT sample does not contain GRB afterglows that would not have been detected also as prompt GRBs. However, a closer examination of the AT data is warranted.

Contribution of X-ray Flares/Transients

PM concluded that many (or most ?) of the AT sources were x-ray transients of known variety: Be-X-ray binaries, RS CVn stars, BL Lacs, and possibly known LMXBs. We also find (see below) that flare stars (dMe stars) are likely contributors. We summarize these possible identifications and thus the reduced sample of sources which could be either prompt or afterglow emission from GRBs.

Four of the 27 AT sources are very possibly flaring outbursts from known Be binary systems: Gamma Cas, 4U0115+63, EXO2030+375, and 3A2237+608=1H2214+589 since all were relatively long duration detections (>48 , 36 , >72 and >14 hours, respectively). An additional five sources are likely associated with RS CVn systems (HR1099, Sigma Gem, DM UMa, V532 Cen, and II Peg), one with a BL Lac (Mkn 421) and one with an LMXB (4U2127+119 in the globular cluster

M15; or possibly a second LMXB and thus transient in this cluster, as we have in fact suggested previously (Grindlay 1993)) since again all were detected for more than several satellite orbits (6, 36, 5, 5, 3, 72 and >48 hours, respectively) and all had persistent *Ariel V* sources in the AT error boxes. One additional AT source can also be rejected as unlikely to be a GRB (afterglow), given its long detection period (between 13 and 36 hours) and its possible association with a known (persistent) source, 3A1956+034; one is probably associated with a symbiotic star and persistent source, 3A1703+241; and one source (AT2030-330) is likely to be an active dMe star (AT Mic), as pointed out by Connors et al (1986).

This leaves 13 AT sources as candidate GRB events. Their spatial distribution appears even more isotropic than the full AT sample, since several low latitude sources (e.g. the 4 Be transients) have been removed. This cleaned sample of AT-GRB candidates may be an underestimate, since two of these 13 sources are the historical GRBs (751102 and 760128) noted above and yet the error box for one of these (AT0318+220=GRB751102) also contains a persistent *Ariel V* source (3A0322+277) which is the RS CVn UX Ari. This likely false association with a RS CVn (we regard the temporal coincidence with a catalogued GRB as a certain identification) may imply that at least 1 out of 5 of the claimed RS CVn associations could be spurious. This might then imply that 1-2 other identifications are accidental, and that the GRB candidates would thus be correspondingly increased. However this is balanced by the possibility of additional dMe star identifications for some, and we conclude that 13 ± 3 sources and thus implied rate of AT-GRB candidates $R_{AG} \sim 0.15 \pm 0.04 \text{ day}^{-1}$ is a best estimate. Since this is (still) consistent with the expected rate of prompt GRB events, $R(F \gtrsim F_{\gamma, \min}) \sim 0.23 \text{ day}^{-1}$, it would again seem that only prompt GRBs are detected as AT sources. However the two known GRBs were not detected only for the minimum integration time of 1.6 hours (= 1 orbit), as expected if only prompt GRB emission is detected, but rather for 4.7 hours (GRB751102) and 3.1 hours (GRB760128). Thus x-ray afterglow detection, in addition to prompt GRB emission, is suggested for these events and, from their durations (see below), for many or most of the others. Note also that prompt GRB emission with duration less than the 6sec *Ariel V* spin period was correspondingly less likely to be detected than afterglow emission from the same burst.

3. Analysis of AT-GRB Candidates: Afterglows ?

We now consider the 13 AT sources which are candidates for GRB afterglows detected at 2-10 keV. If these are afterglows, and not just prompt GRB (x-ray) emission, their light curves should exhibit roughly power-law (index ~ 1.3) decays and thus the brightest AT sources should have the longest detectable durations. However, for relatively weak GRBs detected near the end of a 6000s (1 AT orbit) integration time, they may be (still) detectable in the next orbit, so the correlation between peak flux and duration will be reduced. In fact for an afterglow with x-ray flux $F_x = F_o t^{-1.3}$, an event occurring T seconds *before* the end of the first orbit (i.e. $T \lesssim 6000 \text{ s}$) will yield average flux measures $F_{x,1} \sim F_o / (0.3 T)$ for the first orbit and $F_{x,2} \sim F_o / (1800 T^{0.3})$ for the next

(second) orbit. Thus the flux ratio expected for an afterglow detected on two consecutive orbits is $F_{x,2}/F_{x,1} = 6000/T^{1.3} \gtrsim 1$ for $T \lesssim 811$ sec (i.e. the mean flux would appear to rise rather than decay), whereas for a more probable $T \sim 3000$ s, the ratio is 0.18 (falling to 0.07 at the maximum $T = 6000$ s). Given the *Ariel V* AT source flux threshold of $S_o = 20$ mCrab, an average GRB arrival time ($T = 3000$ s; or midway through the first *Ariel V* orbit) and afterglow would then be detectable on the second orbit if it has a peak x-ray flux $F_o \gtrsim 1800 S_o T^{0.3} \sim 2 \times 10^4 S_o$ so that its x-ray flux averaged over the first orbit must exceed $F_{x,1} \sim (2 \times 10^4/900) S_o \sim 22 S_o$. This in turn would suggest, for GRBs with logN-logS index ~ 0.8 (see below), that 2-orbit afterglows would be detected only for $\sim (22)^{-0.8} \sim 1/12$ of the sample, or ~ 1 AT source.

Table 1: Summary of AT-GRB Candidates

AT Source	Yr-Mo-Da	Peak Flux (error) ¹	Duration ²	LC Type ³	Comments
AT1812+139	791206	9.1 (1.6)	1.6	1	marginal detection
AT0214+013	790626	9.8 (1.8)	1.6	1	
AT0934+475	780826	10.6 (1.5)	1.6	1	
AT1742-325	741124	14.5 (1.9)	4.7 ?	3	gap in LC coverage
AT0833-602	760414	13.2 (2.6)	1.6	1,2	possible 2 orb. detection
AT1933-312	770831	17.4 (3.4)	1.6	5	
AT1253-190	770328	18.0 (3.0)	1.6	4,5	
AT2337+192	750819	19.3 (3.3)	1.6	1	
AT1234+099	790823	22 (1.8)	3.1	4	pk. flux from LC, not table
AT1526+171	760618	25.8 (3.6)	1.6	1,2	possible 2 orb. detection
AT0318+220	751102	41.8 (8.1)	4.7	2	coincident with GRB751102
AT0710+012	760128	53.3 (5.3)	3.1	4	coincident with GRB760128
AT0323-284	771220	56.6 (4.9)	1.6	1	

Notes:

1. Peak flux in SSI counts/sec (from PM).
2. Duration (hours) is quantized in 1.6h (= 1 orbit) units (from PM).
3. Light Curve type (extracted from plots in PM):
 1. single orbit detection;
 2. $\gtrsim 2$ orbit detection and possible smooth decay;
 3. $\gtrsim 2$ orbit detection but irregular;
 4. possible precursor emission;
 5. gap in LC after peak.

In Table 1 we list the 13 AT-GRB candidates ordered by peak flux as given in PM. There is marginal evidence that the brighter AT events are longer duration, particularly if the gaps in the coverage (LC type 5 in table) are considered. However, there are some notable exceptions: the brightest event (771220) is detected in only 1 orbit, and the much fainter event (741124) is detected over at least 3 orbits. The brightest event could be explained as a prompt GRB detected through the detector body but yet outside the collimator field of view so that only down-scattered

hard x-rays or γ -rays from the GRB are recorded, and the fainter event could be a (non-rejected) background transient/flare source. Of the 13 AT-GRB candidates, only 5 are constrained to be $\lesssim 1.6$ h (single orbit) detections, and 3 of these are the faintest sources nearest the detection limit. Although 4 additional (9 total) events are listed (Table 1) as 1.6h duration, their light curves or coverage gaps do not require them to be. Thus ~ 4 -8 of the AT-GRB candidates are consistent with $\gtrsim 2$ orbit AT source detections and thus afterglow emission, or (well) in excess of that estimated for a “mean” GRB afterglow. Given the simplifying assumptions (uniform power law decay) and small N sample (and thus sampling of the integration time T within the first orbit), we conclude that the entire 13 source sample provides a conservative upper limit to the rate of GRBs detected only by their afterglow: $R_{AG}(F_{\gamma,min} \gtrsim 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2}) \sim 0.15 \text{ day}^{-1}$.

4. Other Fast Transient Surveys and Constraints

In addition to the AT survey and the several earlier results on fast transients (FTs) referenced in PM, the HEAO-A1 (Ambruster and Wood 1986) and A2 instruments (Connors, Serlemitsos and Swank 1986) provided additional constraints on the population of FTs and their possible relation to GRBs. Both studies recognized the probable inclusion of prompt emission from GRBs but did not, of course, consider afterglows.

HEAO-A1 Survey

Ambruster and Wood (1986=AW) reported the analysis of the first 6 months of HEAO-1 scanning data from the A1 detectors. Ten FTs were detected above a flux threshold of $f_{A1}(2\text{-}10 \text{ keV}) = 7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The A1 detectors used had field of view $1^\circ \times 4^\circ$ (FWHM) and scanned the sky with 35min scan period for a ~ 10 s exposure time/scan on a given source. Thus for FTs defined (conservatively) as having duration $\lesssim 1$ scan period, the threshold x-ray fluence detected was $F_{A1,min} \sim (60)(35) f_{A1} = 1.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2}$. As for the analysis of the AT events above, we let $F_{X,min} = F_{A1,min}$ and then scale by the x-ray/ γ -ray fluence ratio, $r_{X\gamma,SAX}$, to derive $F_{\gamma,min} = F_{X,min}/r_{X\gamma,SAX} \sim 7.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2}$ as being the corresponding (approximate) fluence threshold for GRBs in the BATSE band.

AW derived an all-sky rate for their FT sample of $\sim 1500 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Since their sample contained 6 (out of 10) “identified” stellar sources (RS CVns, dMe stars) or catalogued A1 sources, we regard only the remaining four (H0422+37, 0535-86, 1908-18, and 0547-14) as GRB candidates. Indeed, two of these (1908-18 and 0547-14) are already listed as GRB candidates based on their relatively hard spectra (though no simultaneous GRB was reported by other experiments at the time). The resulting point on a logN-logS (fluence) plot is then $N(F_{\gamma,min} \gtrsim 7.5 \times 10^{-7}) = 600 \text{ yr}^{-1} = 1.6 \text{ day}^{-1}$, with errors of at least a factor of two due to the small number statistics (and flux conversion uncertainties). Still, it is interesting that this logN-logS point is in fact nicely consistent with the BATSE curve of Bloom et al (1996), allowing for BATSE coverage (~ 0.4) and efficiency (~ 0.6) at such low fluences, which would predict a total GRB rate of $\sim 2 \text{ day}^{-1}$. When

“connected” to the logN-logS point derived above for the AT sample, the A1-AT slope would be ~ 0.8 (again, consistent with the approximate BATSE slope at $F_{\gamma,min}$). Thus the A1 sample provides independent confirmation of the AT result: x-ray detection of FTs (without known GRBs) yields a detection rate and logN-logS consistent with the known BATSE GRB rate. No additional excess rate is implied, as might arise if the x-ray afterglow emission were less beamed than the prompt GRB emission.

HEAO-A2 Survey

The A2 results for FTs (Connors et al 1986) yielded rather similar results: 8 FTs were detected in 3 survey passes (over 1.5 yr) of the 3 A2/HED detectors scanning (35 min period) through the sky. At least 3 FTs are directly attributable to stellar flaring sources (AT Mic and other dMe stars, etc.). The quoted flux limit of 4 mCrab is also similar, and thus the logN-logS constraint for the $\lesssim 5$ GRB candidates would also be similar or even lower in rate (given the $\gtrsim 3\times$ exposure). Assuming none of the events are from GRBs (but all are stellar flares), the authors derive an upper limit on the GRB rate of 1300 yr^{-1} , or within a factor of ~ 2 of the A1 rate.

5. Discussion

The approximate (factor of ~ 2) agreement in rates, and derived logN-logS, for GRBs possibly detected by their x-ray afterglow as FTs and that expected from current BATSE logN-logS limits rules out strong beaming differences (e.g. factors of $\gtrsim 3$ -10) between prompt GRB (γ -ray) emission and x-ray afterglows. Although all three x-ray surveys for FTs are significantly contaminated (perhaps dominated) by stellar flare sources, they all contain similar fractions (~ 0.5) of events that are consistent with being GRB emission. The AT sample provides the strongest evidence for these, with two confirmed detections (simultaneous GRBs) and yet FT durations longer than 1.6h which strongly suggest that x-ray afterglow emission was detected.

Although differential beaming between afterglow vs. prompt emission is not required, factors of ~ 3 would still be allowed by these results. More sensitive x-ray (and hard x-ray) surveys and monitors could provide much more restrictive limits. The present limits are set primarily by the limited duty cycle of the sky coverage for a given source (GRB) imposed by the narrow-field scanning instruments on *Ariel V* or HEAO-1. The conservative flux limits presented here were derived assuming the source was present for a full integration time or scan (1.6h or 35min, respectively). With a wide-field imaging instrument providing both much larger duty cycle coverage as well as imaging capability to reject foreground stellar and background AGN flare sources, these limits could be improved significantly. It is interesting that 1970s-era x-ray (2-10 keV) missions and sensitivities were, in retrospect, able to provide rough constraints for GRB logN-logS values at levels approaching current BATSE limits (i.e. at approximately $F_{\gamma,min} \gtrsim (0.075 - 1.2) \times 10^{-5} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2}$).

In one sense the limits presented here are strict lower limits on the need for differential beaming: they assume that every GRB is accompanied by afterglow x-ray emission and that the x-ray/ γ -ray fluence ratio is constant at the Ginga/SAX value of ~ 0.2 . Neither is strictly true (e.g. x-ray afterglows have thus far been reported by BeppoSAX only for the “long” GRBs), and reductions (most likely) in either parameter will increase the effective rate of x-ray afterglow GRBs to larger values by a reciprocal factor. On the other hand, the limits imposed by fast transients are upper limits due to residual contamination by flaring x-ray sources (dMe stars, etc.). Planned all-sky x-ray (2-10 keV) monitors with ~ 1 -3 mCrab sensitivity and coarse imaging capability (e.g. MOXE on Spectrum-X [in’t Zand et al 1994] or MAXI for JEM on ISS [Kawai et al 1996]), as well as proposed hard x-ray imaging surveys (e.g. EXIST [Grindlay et al 1995]) and possible rapid-slew narrow-field x-ray detectors should allow the prompt vs. afterglow relative beaming factor as well as x-ray/ γ -ray fluence ratios to be derived.

I thank V. Petrosian and J. Bloom for comments on logN-logS relations for burst fluence and A. Connors for comments on the HEAO-A2 data. This work was supported in part by NASA grants NAG5-3256 and NAG5-3808.

REFERENCES

- Ambruster, C.W. and Wood, K.S. 1986, ApJ, 311, 258 (AW)
- Band, D. et al 1993, ApJ, 413, 281
- Bloom, J.S., Fenimore, E. and in ’t Zand, J. 1996, in AIP Conf. Series, 384, 321
- Cline, T. et al 1979, ApJ, 229, L47
- Connors, A., Serlemitsos, P. J. and Swank, J.H. 1986, ApJ, 303, 769
- Costa, E. et al 1997, Nature, 387, 783
- Dar, A. 1998, ApJ, 500, L93
- Frontera, F. et al 1998, ApJ, 493, L67
- Grindlay, J.E. 1993, ASP Conf. Ser., 48, 156
- Grindlay, J.E. et al 1995, SPIE, 2518, 202
- Higdon, J.C. and Lingenfelter, R.E. 1990, Ann. Rev. Astron. Ap., 28, 401
- in’t Zand, H. et al 1994, SPIE, 2279, 458
- Kawai, N., Matsuoka, M., Yoshida, A., and Tsunemi, H. 1996, SPIE, 2808, 555
- Klebesadel, R. et al 1982, ApJ, 259, L51
- Meszáros, P. and Rees, M.J. 1993, ApJ, 405, 278
- Meszáros, P., Rees, M.J., and Wijers, R.A.M.J. 1998, ApJ, 499, 301 (MRW)

- Metzger, R. et al 1997, *Nature*, 387, 878
- Murakami, T. et al 1989, *PASJ*, 41, 105
- Panaitescu, A., Meszaros, P. and Rees, M. 1998, preprint (astro-ph/9801258)
- Pye J.P. & McHardy, I.M. 1983, *MNRAS*, 205, 875 (PM)
- Rhoads, J.E. 1997, *ApJ*, 487, L1
- Strohmayer, T.E., Fenimore, E.E., Murakami, T. and Yoshida, A. 1998, *Proc. 4th Huntsville GRB Symp.*, in press (astro-ph/9712266)
- van Paradijs, J. et al 1997, *Nature*, 386, 686
- Wang, L. and Wheeler, J.C. 1998, *ApJ*, in press
- Warwick, R.S. et al 1981, *MNRAS*, 197, 865